

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,367	-	01/02/2002	Takako Fujii	9643/0L340	8711	
7278	7590	05/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DARBY &		Y P.C.	DONNELLY, JEROME W			
	P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3764		
				DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SY
(1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/030,367	FUJII ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	√N. nely filed the mailing date of this α D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status ·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 34-62 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		.·•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application of the second of the seco	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)	7		-
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413)	0-152)

## Response to applicants Remarks

Applicants claims of a first and second "stretchable portions substantially covering" specific portions of a users leg are, still considered to be met by the same prior art applied 10/06/05.

Applicants amendments are considered to be directed to functional language and intended use, both of which are considered to be met by and capable of being achieved by the prior art of record.

In view of applicants remarks page 10, para. 1-3 the applicant is of the opinion that Fig. 5 of Fujimoto, discloses a stretchable portion 1 which extends onto the thigh over the top of the knee and upwardly from the knee to the groin area all the while extending along the musculus satorious. The applicant is however once again reminded that the applicants apparatus claim limitations must be patentably distinct absent the functional parameters or physical characteristic of an unknown wearer.

The apparatus specific size is unclaimed and the specific size and physical body characteristics of the wearers are unknown.

As to applicant pointing out that other patents refer to specific muscle groups of the human body in order to reference the location of positions of the claimed garment. The examiner responds as follows: The claims do not specifically point out that the patent was granted because of the location of specific claimed elements in the claims, the patent may have been granted because of certain other features.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,367

Art Unit: 3764

In response to applicant arguments directed to the applicability of Dicker the examiner notes that as broadly claimed the bands of Dicker cover either completely or partially the claimed area of applicant invention, if the stretchable areas cover the claimed muscle areas partially then the limitations are met. If the claimed areas, are covered completely the claim limitations are met.

Applicant is direct to fig. 1a of Dicker which disclose a band (36) which extend straight down and is <u>capable</u> of being position at a positioned on a medial side of a knee joint, if so desired. The examiner further note that a combination of the bands 36 and 38 would cover the claimed areas of the invention, as well.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 34-37, 39-43, 45, 47-51 and 54-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujimoto.

The claims are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of the same claims dated 10/06/05.

Claims 38, 44, 46, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dicker et al.

Art Unit: 3764

The claims are rejected for the same reasons as set forth in the rejection of the same claims dated 10/06/05.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/30/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See above.

In response to applicant's argument that the invention cannot be used as claimed, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,367 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly